



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/681,212

10/09/2003

Shigenori Watari

8332

24956 7590 05/23/2007
MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C.
1800 DIAGONAL ROAD
SUITE 370
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER

GORDON, BRIAN R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1743

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/23/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/681,212	WATARI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian R. Gordon	1743	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10-9-03.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 7-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 7-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed October 9, 2003 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 7-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. The analyzer unit is critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). The claims are directed to an automatic analyzer however no element is claimed to enable the device function as such. The analyzer unit disclosed in the specification is not claimed as an element of the device, as such it is unclear how the acoustic generator and control means can be function as an automated analyzer.

Furthermore the claims contain narrative language directed to how the device is intended to be used with unclaimed elements. For example, the reaction vessel and the contents (reagent and specimen) are not positively claimed as elements of the invention

Art Unit: 1743

as such it is only required that the acoustic source and controller of the prior art be capable of functioning with such a vessel.

4. Claim 14 recites the limitation "the reaction bottle" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 7-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Laugharn et al., US 6,948,843.

Laugharn et al. disclose a device and method in which acoustic energy is used to control motion in a fluid. According to one embodiment, the invention directs acoustic energy at selected naturally occurring nucleation features to control motion in the fluid. In another embodiment, the invention provides focused or unfocussed acoustic energy to selectively placed nucleation features to control fluid motion. According to one embodiment, the invention includes an acoustic source, a controller for controlling operation of the acoustic source, and one or more nucleation features located proximate to or in the fluid to be controlled (abstract).

The apparatus includes an acoustic energy source, such as an ultrasound transducer; a controller for providing a waveform type and amplitude controlling signal to the transducer; and one or more nucleation promoting features.

In other embodiments one or both of the acoustic source and the controller are fabricated separately from and located remotely to the microdevice.

In some embodiments, the acoustic source is movable with respect to the target microdevice components.

In certain embodiments, the apparatus includes a source of sonic energy, a sensor for monitoring the energy or its effect, and a feedback mechanism coupled with the source of sonic energy to regulate the energy (for example, voltage, frequency, pattern) for transmitting ultrasonic energy to a target. Devices for transmission may include detection and feedback circuits to control one or more of losses of energy at boundaries and in transit via reflection, dispersion, diffraction, absorption, dephasing and detuning. For example, these devices can control energy according to known loss patterns, such as beam splitting. Sensors can detect the effects of ultrasonic energy on targets, for example, by measuring electromagnetic emissions, typically in the visible, IR, and UV ranges, optionally as a function of wavelength. These effects include energy dispersion, scattering, absorption, and/or fluorescence emission. Other measurable variables include electrostatic properties such as conductivity, impedance, inductance, and/or the magnetic equivalents of these properties. Measurable parameters also include observation of physical uniformity, pattern analysis, and temporal progression uniformity across an assembly of treatment vessels, such as a microtiter plate.

As shown in FIG. 1, one or more sensors coupled to a feedback control results in more focused, specific, or controlled treatment than that possible using current methods typical in the art. The feedback methodology can include fixed electronic elements, a processor, a computer, and/or a program on a computer (control means). The electronic elements, processor, computer, and/or computer program can in turn control any of a variety of adjustable properties to selectively expose a sample to sonic energy in a given treatment. These properties can include modulation of the ultrasonic beam in response to a detected effect. Modifiable ultrasonic wave variables can include intensity, duty cycle, pulse pattern, and spatial location. Typical input parameters that can trigger an output can include change in level of signal, attainment of critical level, plateauing of effect, and/or rate of change. Typical output actions can include sonic input to sample, such as frequency, intensity, duty cycle; stopping sample movement or sonic energy; and/or moving beam within a sample or to the next sample.

Optical or video detection and analysis can be employed to optimize treatment of the sample. For example, in a suspension of biological tissue, the viscosity of the mixture can increase during treatment due to the diminution of the particles by the treatment and/or by the liberation of macromolecules into the solution. Video analysis of the sample during treatment allows an automated assessment of the mixing caused by the treatment protocol. The protocol may be modified during the treatment to promote greater mixing as a result of this assessment. The video data may be acquired and analyzed by the computer control system that is controlling the treatment process. Other optical measurements such as spectral excitation, absorption, fluorescence, emission,

and spectral analysis also can be used to monitor treatment of the sample. A laser beam, for example, can be used for alignment and to indicate current sample position.

Information from the temperature sensor can be used in a feedback loop to control the duty cycle of the acoustic input, such as the number of bursts/second, or otherwise control the amount of heating. Also, fluorescence from an intercalated probe can provide a computer with information on which wells have reached a certain point in the reaction, such as when a particular level of fluorescence is sensed, allowing, for example, the computer to control application of sonic energy or sample location such that certain wells are skipped in the processing cycle until other wells have attained the same point in the reaction or that certain wells are not processed further.

7. Claims 7, 10-11, and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Akira JP2000338113.

Akira discloses a structurally equivalent chemical analyzer including a pair of side array sound sources 205 and a pair of sound receiving elements 207 respectively opposite to one another are operated to measure the transmission of partial acoustic wave of the reaction vessel 102 at the position. This measurement is executed on each pair, a transmission amount of acoustic wave at each position is measured, and a position of the maximum difference in the transmission amount is regarded as a position of a liquid surface 209. When the acoustic wave of polarized intensity is applied from a lower sound source 206 to a sound source side, and the liquid surface 209 is pressed up to a reaction vessel 102 side surface, the liquid surface 209 is lowered at a side surface at the opposite side. This is determined by the surface tension, concentration,

Art Unit: 1743

hydrophilic property to a vessel wall and the like of an object to be stirred, and the characteristics can be identified on the basis of the intensity of the applied acoustic wave and the polarization of the liquid surface 209. This detection is executed not only before the stirring but also similarly executed after the stirring to be compared, and the achievement in stirring and mixing can be evaluated.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Baker, Martin T. et al.; Haggett, Randall D. et al.; Simon; William P. et al.; Marhasin; Evgeny et al.; Lee; Gil U; Wohlstadter; Jacob N. et al.; Rose; Edward V. et al.; Umemura; Shinichiro et al.; Ushimaru; Shigeo et al.; and Young; Jack H. et al. disclose devices including acoustic generators.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian R. Gordon whose telephone number is 571-272-1258. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, Telework Thurs., 1st Fri. Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1743

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Brian R Gordon
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1743

brg

BRIAN R. GORDON
PRIMARY EXAMINER